

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,483	07/25/2001	Symon G. Erskine	P51160	5118
20462	7590 05/07/2002			
SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
CORPORATI P. O. BOX 15		OPERTY-US, UW2220	REAMER, JAMES H	
KING OF PR	KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/912,483	ERSKINE ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		James H. Reamer	1614		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	i-stion(a) filed on				
<u>'</u>	nmunication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FIN	, _	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.					
,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/a					
7) Claim(s) is/a	•				
	•	Jaction requirement			
Application Papers	ubject to restriction and/or e	riection requirement.			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
		is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (P Notice of Draftsperson's Pater Information Disclosure Statem	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/912,483

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 to 26, 37 and 38, drawn to compositions and methods of using the compositions to treat neoplastic diseases, classified in class 514, subclass 311+.
- II. Claims 27 to 33, drawn to a method for identifying compounds useful for treating mammalian diseases, classified in class 435, subclass 20+.
- III. Claim 34, drawn to compounds, classified in class 546, subclass 152+.
- IV. Claims 35-36, drawn to a method for screening for anti-cancer compounds using crystal structure comparisons, classified in class 378, subclass 73+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions the method for identifying useful properties of compounds, Group II, is unrelated to the compositions and their use, Group I, because there is no correlation between identifying their properties and using the compounds. The compounds of Group III are likewise unrelated since these compounds may possess properties other then the properties claimed in Group I. The method for screening for anti-cancer drugs, Group IV, is unrelated to the other three Groups since it involves the use of a different type of screening method, crystal structure comparisons, not used by the invention of Group II.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,483

Art Unit: 1614

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of the examples.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 to 14 and 16 to 26 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/912,483

Art Unit: 1614

the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement istraversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James H. Reamer whose telephone number is (703) 308-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 2:00 PM Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-3909.

James H. Reamer
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1614

JHR May 7, 2002